

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.             | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. |               |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| 09/480,586                  | 01/10/2000      | Eddie Woodrow Dooley | 9D-HL-19136-                         | 4501          |
| John S Beulick              | 7590 08/27/2007 | EXAMINER             |                                      |               |
| Armstrong Tea               | sdale LLP       | BINDA, GREGORY JOHN  |                                      |               |
| One Metropoli<br>Suite 2600 | tan Square      | ART UNIT PAPER NU    |                                      |               |
| St. Louis, MO               | 63102           | 3679                 |                                      |               |
|                             |                 |                      |                                      |               |
|                             |                 |                      | MAIL DATE                            | DELIVERY MODE |
|                             |                 |                      | 08/27/2007                           | PAPER         |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  |  | Application N                           | 0.   | Applicant(s)         |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary  |  | 09/480,586                              |  | DOOLEY ET AL.        |  |  |  |
|  |  | Examiner                                |  | Art Unit             |  |  |  |
|  |  | Greg Binda                              |  | 3679                 |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply       |  |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| A SH<br>WHIC<br>- Exter<br>after   | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA assions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w | ATE OF THIS C<br>36(a). In no event, ho | COMMUNICATION owever, may a reply be time        | I.<br>lely filed     |  |  |  |
| - Failu<br>Any   | re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  | , cause the application                 | n to become ABANDONE                             | D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| 1)   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on  |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| •  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| 3)   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
|  | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| Dispositi  | on of Claims   |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| 4)🖂  | 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
|  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| •  | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
|  | Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
|  | Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| اكا(ە  | Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or e   | siection require                        | ment.  |                      |  |  |  |
| Applicati  | on Papers  |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| 9)[  | The specification is objected to by the Examine  | r.                                      |  |                      |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.                                 |  |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).                  |  |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |  |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.             |  |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| Priority (   | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
|  | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  | priority under 3                        | 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)                               | -(d) or (f).         |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |  |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No                                       |  |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage                    |  |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |  |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.                               |  |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
|  |  |   | ·  |                      |  |  |  |
| Attachmen  | t(s)   |   |  |                      |  |  |  |
| 1) 🔲 Notic   | e of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4)                                      | Interview Summary                                |                      |  |  |  |
| 3) Infor   | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date  | · <u></u>                               | Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other: |                      |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/480,586 Page 2

Art Unit: 3679

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-16, drawn to a hub assembly, classified in class 188, subclass 382.

II. Claims 17-20, drawn to a method of assembling a hub, classified in class 29,

subclass 428.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions of groups II and I are related as process of making and product made. The

inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as

claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as

claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the

instant case the product could be made by a process which provides a hub with a substantially

non-circular interior surface.

3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and

there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the

inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification,

restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and

there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the

inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Application/Control Number: 09/480,586 Page 4

Art Unit: 3679

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Greg Binda whose telephone number is (571) 272-7077. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 am to 7:00 pm with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (571) 272-7087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Greg Binda

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3679